

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/698,266 | WENSTRUP ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Vinh T. Luong | 3682 | |

All Participants:

(1) Vinh T. Luong.

(2) Stephen P. Evans.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2006

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Applicant agreed to amend Figs. 2 and 4 as seen in the attached sheets to correct minor error in the drawings and to facilitate the understanding of the claimed invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Vinh T. Luong

Primary Examiner


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)